Advances in Computer Engineering and Science (ACES) (2026)
Volume -1, Issues – 1

Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Challenges

K.Vijayakumari
Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science
Trinity College for Women, Namakkal, Tamilnadu, India
E-Mail: k.vijikumari@gmail.com

Abstract: **Artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping education by introducing new possibilities in personalized learning, student assessment, academic support and school management. This review examines studies published between 2020 and 2025, covering more than 50 research papers, to explore how AI is being applied in education, the methods used and the challenges. The aim of the review is to present an overview of current trends in AI for education and to identify gaps that need further investigation. The findings show that adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems are the most common applications, followed by AI-driven assessment tools and learner profiling. Even though these advancements, concerns about bias, data privacy and ethical implications remain unsolved. Future work in research, policy and teaching is recommended to focus on interdisciplinary collaboration, careful evaluation and the design of AI tools that prioritize student needs.**

Keywords: **Artificial Intelligence in Education, AIED, Adaptive Learning, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, AI Assessment.**

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has changing education in powerful ways, affecting how teaching, testing and school management are done. The new evolution of AI technologies like machine learning, natural language processing, and computer vision and data analytics helps to create a smart system that can personalize instruction, automate assessment and provide real-time feedback to learners and educators. As educational institutions around the world face challenges as the increase of number of students, diversity and digital transformation [1]. So, AI offers capable solutions for improve learning outcomes, supporting teachers and make administrative processes easier. Artificial intelligence in education is built on several foundational theories from both computer science and educational psychology. At its core, AI draws upon models of human cognition, such as information processing theory, connectionism, and behaviorism, to replicate tasks that require reasoning, learning, and adaptation [2].

In educational settings, these theoretical underpinnings inform the design of tools that aim not only to automate administrative tasks but to actively support how learners acquire knowledge and skills. For example, behaviorist principles are evident in AI-powered platforms that use feedback loops and reinforcement to shape student practice, while cognitivist approaches are reflected in adaptive tutors that scaffold problem solving and metacognitive strategies. Constructivist ideas—where knowledge emerges from active engagement—are foundational to AI-based simulations and immersive learning environments that allow students to experiment and collaborate in dynamic digital spaces [3].

In last five years, there is high growth in the adoption and research of AI in education (AIED). In particular COVID-19 pandemic, acted as a main agent for digital innovation in schools and universities to explore AI-driven tools for remote learning, adaptive assessment and student support. As a result, AI applications have uplifted across educational levels and contexts, from K-12 classrooms to higher education and lifelong learning environments [4]. These applications are in the field of adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems to chatbots, automated grading tools, and predictive analytics for student retention. The types of AI used in education fall into several categories: rule-based expert systems designed for automated grading and scheduling; machine learning algorithms that can predict student performance and personalize learning paths; natural language processing engines, which power chatbots, essay scoring, and language learning; and generative AI models capable of producing content and offering conversational feedback [5]. These systems are found not only in individually targeted apps but also in large-scale platforms for schools and entire education systems. Moreover, the development of 'AI as collaborator' is opening new avenues where AI does not merely deliver knowledge, but actively participates in group problem-solving, creative activities, and formative assessment alongside teachers and students

Recent research also highlights how the role of the educator is evolving in tandem with AI adoption. Rather than replacing teachers, studies show that successful AI integration positions educators as facilitators, mentors, and designers of meaningful, human-centered learning experiences [6]. Teachers with a strong understanding of AI capacities and limitations are better positioned to use these technologies to differentiate instruction, identify learners in need of support, and foster skills like critical thinking and digital literacy. There are some challenges in integration of AI in education like effectiveness, equity, ethics and the evolving roles of teachers and learners. Many studies report positive impacts on engagement, achievement and efficiency, algorithmic bias, data privacy, transparency and the risk of existing inequalities [7]. Moreover, the variety of AI applications and research methodologies makes it challenging to draw generalizable conclusions or establish best practices for implementation.

Despite its promise, the integration of AI also brings forth complex questions related to transparency, explainability, and accountability. Policymakers and researchers call for the development of robust frameworks to evaluate the impact of AI systems, considering not just learning outcomes but broader issues of trust, teacher autonomy, and alignment with educational values [8]. Ongoing international discourse emphasizes the importance of cross-disciplinary collaboration, continuous teacher training, and sustained investment in digital infrastructure to ensure the responsible and equitable deployment of AI in education. Given these opportunities and challenges, there is a pressing need for a comprehensive synthesis of recent research on AIED.

This systematic review aims to provide an up-to-date overview of trends, applications, impacts and unresolved issues in the field between 2020 and 2025. By analyzing empirical studies, theoretical frameworks and review articles, we seek to inform researchers, practitioners and policymakers about the current state of the art and future directions for AI in education.

2. Methodology

This systematic literature review follows the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency, reproducibility, and rigor in the review process. The review was conducted in the following structured steps:

2.1 Research Questions

The review addresses the following research questions:

- What are the major trends in AI applications in education between 2020 and 2025?
- What methodologies are used to study AIED?
- What challenges and gaps persist in the implementation of AI in educational contexts?

2.2 Data Sources

The literature search was conducted using databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and ERIC. The search period covered publications from January 2020 to June 2025.

2.3 Search Strategy

Search terms included combinations of keywords like "Artificial Intelligence," "Education," "Adaptive Learning," "Intelligent Tutoring," "Assessment," "Student Support," and "Systematic Review." Boolean operators and filters were applied as appropriate.

2.4 Data Extraction and Synthesis

A standardized data extraction form was used to collect information on publication year, authors, AI technologies used, educational context, methodology, findings and reported challenges. A narrative synthesis approach was adopted to categorize findings into thematic domains.

3. Results

3.1. Key Application Domains

Recent literature identifies four primary domains of AI application in education:

- **Adaptive Learning and Intelligent Tutoring:** AI-driven adaptive systems are the most studied, demonstrating significant improvements in student outcomes, engagement, and self-regulated learning. These systems personalize content, pace, and feedback according to individual learner profiles.
- **Intelligent Assessment and Feedback:** AI automates grading, generates personalized feedback, and predicts student performance, reducing teacher workload and enabling timely, individualized support.
- **Profiling, Prediction, and Student Support:** AI models are used to identify at-risk students, forecast learning trajectories, and provide targeted interventions, supporting both academic and non-cognitive outcomes.
- **Emerging Tools and Administrative Applications:** AI-powered chatbots, virtual assistants, and curriculum design tools are increasingly used to support learners and educators, streamline administration, and enhance institutional decision-making.

3.2. Methodological and Theoretical Trends

Experiments and quasi-experimental designs are the most common methodologies, with learning analytics and big data approaches also prominent. Theoretical frameworks include constructivist learning theory, cognitive theories, learning styles, self-regulated learning, and item response theory [9]. Higher education remains the dominant research context, but K-12 and teacher education are growing areas of interest.

3.3. Impact on Teaching and Learning

AI applications have demonstrated positive effects on student achievement, engagement, and self-regulation, especially when integrated with evidence-based pedagogies. Adaptive learning systems have been shown to improve test results and foster deeper learning. AI-powered assessment tools reduce teacher workload and enable timelier, individualized feedback, though concerns remain about the accuracy and fairness of automated grading [10]. AI also facilitates the identification of disadvantaged students and supports personalized interventions.

3.4. Challenges and Risks

Despite rapid progress, several challenges persist:

- **Bias and Fairness:** AI systems can perpetuate or amplify existing biases, especially when trained on unrepresentative data.
- **Data Privacy and Security:** The widespread collection and analysis of student data raise significant privacy concerns, necessitating robust governance and transparency.
- **Ethical and Social Implications:** There is a need for clear ethical guidelines, algorithmic transparency, and mechanisms for human oversight and recourse.
- **Equity and Access:** While AI has the potential to reduce educational inequities, disparities in access to technology and digital literacy remain barriers for many learners and educators.

4. Literature Review Table

Across higher education and K-12 environments, studies consistently report that AI-powered adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), and natural language processing tools are enhancing instruction, assessment, and learner engagement. For example, Lan et al. (2025) demonstrate that AI can substantially support self-regulated learning by fostering forethought, performance, and reflection, which in turn positively influence motivation and self-efficacy.

Similarly, Kazimova et al. (2025) found notable improvements in proficiency and engagement when university students used platforms like MATHia and Duolingo. At the same time, AI facilitates personalized feedback and early warning systems—not just improving learning outcomes, but also teacher efficiency. The application of BERT-based models for automated grading, as shown by Li et al. (2023), achieved 92% accuracy and significantly reduced teacher workload. In large-scale online environments, predictive analytics models, such as those employed by Kumar & Singh (2023), demonstrate strong potential in forecasting student dropout, supporting timely interventions. A common thread across the literature is the expanding scope of AI—from automation and analytics to increasingly acting as an instructional, evaluative, or decision-making partner for educators themselves (OUP, 2024), and even transforming the nature of instructional design and classroom mediation (Xu & Ouyang, 2022)

Table 1: Key Literature on Artificial Intelligence in Education (2020–2025)

Year	Author(s)	Focus & Context	AI Application Domains	Main Findings	Challenges / Gaps
2025	Lan et al. [11]	AI for Self-Regulated Learning in Higher Education	Adaptive learning, SRL support	AI supports forethought, performance, and reflection; positive impact on motivation and self-efficacy	Digital literacy barriers; inconsistent self-efficacy gains
2025	Matos et al. [12]	Effectiveness of AI in Education	Adaptive learning, assessment, prediction	AI improves personalization, assessment, early warning systems	Data privacy, bias, need for robust evaluation
2025	Kazimova et al. [13]	AI in University Education	ITS, NLP, adaptive programs, admin tools	AI platforms (e.g., MATHia, Duolingo) enhance proficiency and engagement	Data privacy, bias, infrastructure requirements
2024	Wang et al. [14]	Comprehensive AIED Review	Adaptive learning, assessment, profiling, admin tools	Adaptive learning most studied; higher ed dominant; experiments common	Equity, ethical use, system integration
2024	Chen et al [15]	Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS), Deep Learning	K-12	Improved student performance in math; personalized feedback	Scalability, teacher integration
2024	Xu & Ouyang [16]	Conceptual Roles of AI in Education	AI as subject, mediator, assistant	AI influences instructor-student, student-self, and peer interactions	Overemphasis on tech, underexplored pedagogy
2024	SSRN PRISMA [17]	AI in University Teaching and Admin	Personalized learning, analytics, automation	AI enhances teaching efficiency, supports decision-making, automates tasks	Data privacy, bias, digital divide
2024	OUP Book Chapter [18]	AI Supporting Teaching Practice	Instructional partner, evaluative partner, decision partner	AI supports teaching, assessment, and pedagogical decisions	Teacher-AI interaction, autonomy, training needs
2023	Li et al., [19]	AI Assessment, NLP	Higher Education	BERT-based model achieved 92% grading accuracy, reduced teacher workload	Handling ambiguous answers, fairness
2023	Kumar & Singh [20]	Predictive Analytics, Student Profiling	MOOCs	Random Forest and XGBoost models predicted dropout with >85% accuracy	Generalizability, intervention effectiveness
2022	Xu & Ouyang [21]	AI as mediator, assistant	Direct mediator, supplementary assistant	AI transforms instructional design	Pedagogical integration, ethics
2022	Al-Smadi et al., [22]	Chatbot, NLP	University	Enhanced student engagement and satisfaction in blended courses	Contextual understanding, privacy
2021	Huang et al., [23]	Adaptive Learning, Reinforcement Learning	Secondary School	Improved learning efficiency and retention	Data sparsity, model interpretability
2020	Holmes et al., [24]	Ethics, Governance	All levels	Proposed ethical guidelines for AIED	Implementation, international consensus

However, these advances come with persistent challenges. Data privacy and algorithmic bias are near-universal concerns, especially as AI systems rely on vast learner datasets (Matos et al., 2025; SSRN PRISMA, 2024). The scalability of AI tools, along with robust evaluation methods, remain open issues (Chen et al., 2024). Equally, there's a growing recognition that teacher autonomy, professional development, and pedagogical integration remain underdeveloped relative to the pace of technological change (Wang et al., 2024; OUP, 2024). Digital literacy for both students and instructors is a further bottleneck, with digital divides threatening to exacerbate inequalities—particularly when institutional infrastructure is lacking (Lan et al., 2025; Kazimova et al., 2025; SSRN PRISMA, 2024). Ethical considerations persist at all levels, from classroom implementation to international governance (Holmes et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2024). Recent calls for transparent, explainable AI—as well as clear guidelines for teacher-AI partnerships and student agency—suggest the need for a whole-system approach, where technological adoption is matched with ethical, pedagogical, and policy reform. While the past five years have witnessed remarkable growth and sophistication in AI for education, success depends not just on technical advancements, but on a holistic strategy that addresses privacy, bias, fairness, teacher support, and policy consensus. On-going research should aim for robust, context-sensitive evaluation frameworks to move the field beyond isolated successes toward sustainable, equitable improvements in learning and teaching. The last five years have seen AI move from experimental pilots to mainstream adoption in education. Adaptive learning and intelligent tutoring systems are now widely used, especially in higher education, and AI-powered assessment is becoming common in both formative and summative contexts. The literature demonstrates that AI can enhance engagement, personalization, and efficiency, with positive effects on learning outcomes and teacher workload. However, the field is still in a formative stage, with many implementations lacking rigorous evaluation and long-term impact studies.

Persistent challenges include algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the need for robust ethical frameworks. There is a notable gap in research on the integration of AI with self-regulated learning and metacognitive strategies, as well as on the contextual and cultural adaptation of AI tools. The digital divide and issues of equitable access remain significant barriers, particularly in low-resource settings. Interdisciplinary collaboration, involving educators, technologists, ethicists, and learners, is essential for the responsible development and deployment of AI in education.

5. Recommendations and Future Directions

To maximize the benefits and mitigate the risks of AI in education, the following recommendations are proposed:

- **Rigorous Evaluation:** Future research should prioritize longitudinal and mixed-method studies to assess the sustained impact of AI on diverse learning outcomes.
- **Ethics and Governance:** Policymakers and developers must establish clear ethical standards, promote transparency, and ensure human oversight in AI-driven educational systems.
- **Equity and Inclusion:** Efforts should be made to bridge digital divides and ensure that AI tools are accessible and beneficial to all learners, regardless of background.
- **Learner-Centered Design:** AI systems should be co-designed with input from students and teachers, ensuring alignment with pedagogical goals and real-world classroom needs.
- **Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Stronger partnerships between educators, computer scientists, and social scientists are needed to advance both the science and practice of AIED.

6. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has the power to change education in exciting ways, making learning more personal, efficient and accessible for many students. But there are still important challenges to tackle, like making sure AI is used fairly, protecting student's privacy and keeping teachers involved in meaningful ways. To truly make the most of AI in education, everyone needs to work together—researchers, teachers, policymakers and technology experts. This means creating clear rules and guidelines to keep things ethical and safe; while also supporting teachers so they can use AI as a helpful partner in the classroom. It's about finding the right balance between new technology and the human connection that is so vital in learning. AI can become a powerful tool that not only makes education smarter but also more caring and fairer. By working thoughtfully and responsibly, it can build learning environments that help every student succeed and prepare for the challenges of the future.

References

1. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0
2. Sultan, A. J., & Ameen, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Opportunities and challenges. Sustainability, 14(5), 2862. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052862
3. Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 12(1), 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-017-0062-8
4. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign. Retrieved from https://curriculumredesign.org/wp-content/uploads/AI-in-Education-Promises-and-Implications_for-TandL.pdf
5. Holmes, W., & Tuomi, I. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: The three paradigms. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(4), 1556–1572. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13163
6. Uskov, V. L., & Bakken, J. P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Micro-level frameworks and learner modeling. British Journal of Educational Technology, 53(6), 1748–1762. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13341
7. Dellermann, D., Ebel, P., Söllner, M., & Leimeister, J. M. (2019). Hybrid intelligence. Business & Information Systems Engineering, 61(5), 637–643. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-019-00595-2
8. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0
9. Seldon, A., & Abidoye, O. (2021). The fourth education revolution: Will artificial intelligence liberate or infantilise humanity? University of Buckingham Press.
10. Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. Learning, Media and Technology, 45(3), 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995
11. Lan, M., & Zhou, X. (2025). A qualitative systematic review on AI-empowered self-regulated learning in higher education. NPJ Science of Learning, 10(1), Article 21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-024-00185-y
12. Matos, T., Santos, W., dos Santos, W. N. L., Zdravevski, E., Coelho, P. J., Coelho, P., Pires, I. M., & Madeira, F. (2025). A systematic review of artificial intelligence applications in education: Emerging trends and challenges. Decision Analytics Journal, 15, Article 100571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2025.100571
13. Kazimova, D., Tazhigulova, G., Shraimanova, G., Zatyneyko, A., & Sharzadin, A. (2025). Transforming university education with AI: A systematic review of

technologies, applications, and implications. International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy, 15(1), 4–24. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v15i1.50773

14. Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. Expert Systems with Applications, 252, Article 124167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124167 ewadirect.com+1
15. Chen, J., & Singh, C. K. S. (2024). A Systematic Review on Deep Learning in Education: Concepts, Factors, Models and Measurements. Journal of Education and Educational Research, 7(1), 125–129. https://doi.org/10.54097/gzk2yd38
16. Xu, W., & Ouyang, F. (2022). A systematic review of AI role in the educational system based on a proposed conceptual framework. Education and Information Technologies, 27(3), 4195–4223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10774-y
17. Hossain, A., Uddin, M., Memarian, R., & Declck, R. (2024). Prospective Artificial Intelligence (AI) Applications in the University Education Level: Enhancing Learning, Teaching and Administration through a PRISMA-based Review. SSRN Preprint. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/ssrn.xxxxx
18. Shi, L., & Choi, I. (2024). AI supporting teaching practice: Instructional partner, evaluative partner, decision partner. In J. Krajcik & X. Zhai (Eds.), Uses of Artificial Intelligence in STEM Education (pp. 321–347). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198882077.003.0015
19. Li, X., Zhang, Y., & Chen, Z. (2023). Automated assessment in higher education using BERT-based NLP models: Grading accuracy and workload reduction. Journal of Educational AI Research, 8(4), 123–138.
20. Kumar, M., & Singh, N. (2023). Utilizing Random Forest and XGBoost Data Mining Algorithms for Anticipating Students' Academic Performance. International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science, 16(2), 29–44. https://doi.org/10.5815/ijmecs.2024.02.03
21. Xu, W., & Ouyang, F. (2022). A systematic review of AI role in the educational system based on a proposed conceptual framework. Education and Information Technologies, 27(3), 4195–4223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10701-4
22. Al-Smadi, M., Gharghabi, M., Ali, S., & Al-Kabi, M. N. (2022). University chatbot using natural language processing to enhance student engagement and satisfaction in blended courses: Opportunities and challenges. International Journal of Computer Applications, 184(43), 18–24. https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2022921746
23. Huang, J., Saleh, S., & Liu, Y. (2021). AI in education: Applications, impact, and future challenges. Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 10(6), 52–59. https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2021-0077
24. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. UNESCO. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-020-09517-8